



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/866,109 05/30/97 UEMURA T 130641-197-1

MM22/1217

CUSHMAN DABRY AND CUSHMAN
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP OF
PILLSBURY MADISON AND SUTRO
1100 NEW YORK AVE NW NINTH FL EAST TOWER
WASHINGTON DC 20005-3918

EXAMINER

WILLELD

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1814

DATE MAILED:

12/17/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/866,129

Applicant(s)

Uemura et al.

Examiner

Douglas Wille

Group Art Unit

2814



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Douglas Wille

(3) _____

(2) Raymond Mah

(4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 8, 1999Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

Nakamura et al. and Manabe et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant presented arguments against rejection of claims and Examiner presented reasoning behind the rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Douglas Wille
DOUGLAS WILLE
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2814